

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/579,216	05/12/2006	Masaki Fukumori	Q94896	1179	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 10/22/200 HON PLLC	9	EXAM	EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W.			REDDY, KARUNA P		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON DC 20037		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	71, DC 20057		1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/22/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/579,216	FUKUMORI ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KARUNA P. REDDY	1796				
All Participants: Status of Application:						
(1) <u>KARUNA P. REDDY</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Hui Wauters</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 20 October 2009	Time:					
Type of Interview: ⊠ Telephonic Uvideo Conference Personal (Copy given to: □ Applicant □ Applica	int's representative)					
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Claims discussed: 1, 4 and 6 Prior art documents discussed: Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:				
Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate ridirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate ridid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance			
/Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796 /K. P. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if	appropriate)			

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposed the following amendment to put the application in condition for a potential allowance - 1) Claim 1 - Replace lines 3-8 with (*1-1) from 50 to 80% by weight of a polymerizable compound selected from the group consisting of perfluoroalky (meth)acrylate and perfluoroalkery (meth)acrylate wherein the carbon number of perfluoroalky or perfluoroalkery li s 2 to 14, (*1-2) from 5 to 30% by weight of a chlorine-containing polymerizable compound selected from the group consisting of vinyl chloride, vinylidine chloride and alpha-chloroacrylate, and (I-3) optionally present, from 5 to 30% by weight of another copolymerizable compound scopolymerizable with*. 2) Replace *at least one ... metal salt of an acid.* (lines 10-13) with *epoxidized soybean oil and one weakly basic compound selected from the group consisting of sodium carbonate and sodium hydrogen carbonate.* 3) Cancel claim 4 and 6. 4) Applicant's Attorney would discuss the proposed amendments with apolicant and respond by Friday (10/23/2009).